

APPENDIX 1

From: David Munkley [davidmunkley@hotmail.com]

Sent: 29 April 2008 03:13

To: Wheeler Jacqui; z Audrey Barratt; Clark Teresa; dave coe; Munkley David; Dick Sable; z Ian; z Jeannie; ken wright; Khan Latif; Latif Khan; latif_khan@lycos.co.uk; malcolm hellings; Margery Hitchman; toby evans

Subject: RE: Public Path Extinguishment Orders - S118 Highways Act 1980

Dear Jacqui.

To end the to and fro of e-mails and the potential arguments over what has passed I submit an interim objection to proposals regarding Footpath 4, Footpath 18, Footpath 22 and Bridleway 6A (those listed in your e-mail). I believe that this will initiate a suspension of the process that will allow LAF members proper time to view these paths and enable the next LAF meeting to give objective responses on each. My objection does not prejudice a LAF position on any of the proposals but will facilitate a more informed and considered response by its members. Obviously, the objection requires a suspension until after the next LAF meeting in late June, when an unequivocal response will be given.

If there are any problems over accepting my objection or suspending the process please let me and all other LAF members know immediately. If I hear nothing I'll assume that my objections are accepted and the process suspended for two months: Also that due regard will be given to possible LAF amending proposals with the fullest possible accommodation of those where they occur.

Please hard copy this to all members not receiving the e-mails electronically.

Best Wishes. David.